UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION 1 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/560,154 | 01/12/2007 | Takayoshi Kawamura | 450106-05231 | 9464 |
| William S Fron | 7590 01/27/201 nmer | EXAMINER | | |
| Frommer Lawre | _ | NGUYEN, MAIKHANH | | |
| 745 Fifth Avent New York, NY | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2176 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/27/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/560,154 | KAWAMURA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | | |

| | MAIKHANH NGUYEN | 2176 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejectio | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ite extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NOī w); | ΓE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | e issues for |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an ex | planation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | I sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Laurie Ries/ Primary Examiner Technology Center 2100 |) | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues in substance that Koyama does not teach: (1) the management information file contains a group name to which all the encoding systems identified by the identification means belong; (2) the directory for the management information file being different from other directories of the recording medium; and (3) determination means for determining reproducibility of all the plurality of pieces of data according to the group name contained in the management information file.

As to point (1): Koyama teaches the management information file contains a group name to which all the encoding systems identified at the identification means belong (first management file for carrying out management of all subdirectories formed within the directory ... and first index file which records index picture for indicating at least one picture file of picture files recorded into the subdirectories formed within the directory are provided in the directory; See Col. 2, lines 28-42)

As to point (2): Koyama teaches the directory for the management information file being different from other directories of the record medium (recording picture data onto a recording medium, wherein directory is formed in a rewritable area of the recording medium and subdirectories are formed within the directory. Then, first management file for carrying out management of all subdirectories, and first index file for recording low resolution picture data for indicating at least one picture file of a plurality of picture files recorded in the respective subdirectories are recorded into the directory. Further, second management file for carrying out management of picture files recorded in the subdirectories and second index file for recording low resolution picture data for respectively indicating all picture files recorded in the subdirectory are recorded into the subdirectory; See Col. 2, lines 29-59).

As to point (3): Koyama teaches determining reproducibility of all the plurality of pieces of data according to the group name contained in the management information file (At the step S160, the system controller 6 controls the disc recording/reproducing section 5C so as to read out data U TOC, overall information management file and picture data management file in the RAM 6a to write them onto the disc to thereby update the respective data. Thus, the entire routine according to the editing operation of picture is completed; see Col. 68, lines 1-7, see also, Figs. 38 and 46).

.